Approved For Release 2001/08/25: CIA-RDP59-00882R000300270008-9

Recuite Bagastrs
7-4736

100.2000 310 Liber

OCT 10 1955

Translated on the

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT

: Claims for Damaged Household Goods of

25X1A9a 25X1A9a

25X1A9a staff employees of vere sent to in early 1951. Their household effects were packed for overseas shipment by the 25X1A6a vere sent to 25X1A5a1 Company, Inc. of Washington. D. C., under an Agency contract. The record clearly indicates gross negligence in packing of the effects 25X1A9a which resulted in which resulted in reporting damages in the amounts of \$537.00, \$339.00, and \$1810.00, respectively, to the 25X1A Agency. In the fall of 1951, the Agency filed formal claims against and the shipper; both denied liability. Subsequent letters 25X1A5a1 including letters implying legal action, have received no reply.

- 2. Repeated efforts were made in an attempt to have the General Accounting Office take action by way of possible setoff against other amounts due and payable by the Government to The General 25X1A5a1 Accounting Office in a formal opinion advised that there was no legal basis for such action.
- 3. The Headquarters Board of Survey, on the basis of the General Accounting Office opinion, determined that reimbursement could not be made to these employees. The General Counsel has ruled that under normal principles applicable in such cases, the Agency could not reimburse these employees for the damages suffered.

25X1A9a

deavoring to effect a settlement of their claims and the possibility of personal legal action against had not been discussed with 25X1A5a1 them. After all efforts to effect settlement had failed, the General Counsel's office turned to personal legal action against only 25X1A5a1 to find that such action was barred by the three year Statute of Limitations applicable in the District of Columbia. Therefore, at this time, it appears that all avenues of relief for these employees nave been exhausted.

Colorador La La

25X1A9a

of responsibility. While it is true that they should take personal action, this course of action was never suggested to them. It is also true that they were overseas during a portion of this period and that they, as well as other Agency officials, assumed that the best chance of settlement was through official Agency action. Therefore, their present position and financial hardship can be attributed in part to the incomplete advice furnished by Agency officials and the extremely slow handling of their claims by the Agency. Moreover, if these individuals had been covert employees on the theory that security precluded legal action, the Agency probably would have made reimbursement in a routine manner.

FOIAb5

6. To the extent that the Agency has contributed to the dilemma in which these exployees now find themselves, I believe we should accept responsibility.

FOIAb5

L. K. WHITE Deputy Director (Support)

CONCUR:

25X1A9a

15 Inspector General //

28 Sept. 1955

The recommendation contained in paragraph 6. is APPROVED (DISAPPROVED):

ALLEN W. DULLES

Director of Central Intelligence

Distribution:

- 0 Comptroller
- 1 Inspector General
- 1 DCI
- l ER
- 1 AD/O thru DD/I
- 2 DD/S

1-General Coursel